



Sh Ravinder Kataria,
64, New Sant Fateh Singh Nagar,
Durgri Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM,
Zira, Distt Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Zira, Distt Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2586 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ravinder Kataria as the Appellant
Sh.Tarsem Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 01.02.2021 has sought information regarding copies of form no.25 deposited by the owners of 15 years old two-wheelers/four-wheelers for renewal from 01.07.2020 to 31.12.2020 – documents submitted by vehicle owners of outside vehicles – procedure for assessment of renewal of vehicle and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SDM Zira, District Ferozepur. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply on 17.05.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the commission.

The case first came up for hearing on 12.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Ferozepur. As per the appellant, the PIO had not supplied the complete information.

Due to another engagement in the office of DC Ferozepur, the respondent could not be heard. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing on **01.02.2022**, the appellant was absent and vide email has sought exemption.

The respondent was absent. The case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 13.04.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAD Ludhiana/Ferozepur. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 22.01.2022 with a copy to the Commission through email.

The appellant is not satisfied.

Appeal Case No. 2586 of 2021

Having gone through the RTI application, reply of the PIO and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

- | | | |
|-----------|---|---|
| - Point-1 | - | As per the respondent, the information is available online. |
| - Point-2 | - | PIO to provide information by applying section 10 of the RCT Act
Severing the personal information |
| - Point-3 | - | Provided |
| - Point-4 | - | PIO to provide names of the officers/employees |

The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh Om Parkash,
1st Floor, Ward NO-20,
Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Hon'ble, Distt & Session Judge,
Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Hon'ble, Distt & Session Judge,
Mansa.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3511 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Complainant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 07.11.2020, has sought information regarding a copy of application dated 14.08.2020 & 06.11.2020 with all reports annexures, status report, DC report, SSP report - as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of district and Session Judge, Mansa. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 12.11.2020, which did not decide on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 03.02.2021 before Ms.Preeti Chawla, State Information Commissioner. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the next date of hearing on 22.06.2021, the appellant informed that the PIO has not supplied the information. As per the respondent, the information available in the record had been provided.

Since the appellant was not satisfied, the appellant was directed to inspect the record by visiting the office of the PIO on 25.06.2021 and get the relevant information.

On the date of hearing on 16.08.2021, the respondent was absent. The Commission received a reply from the PIO dated 08.07.2021 which was taken on record. In the reply, it was stated that the requisite information as sought in the RTI application, i.e. copy of the application dated 14.08.2020 and 06.11.2020 has been supplied and no other document as sought by the appellant is available in the office. The appellant was absent. The appellant was directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing to pursue their case.

The case has come up for hearing before this bench today through video conferencing at DAC Mansa. Both the parties are absent. The respondent vide letter received in the Commission on 18.04.2022 has sought exemption.

The appellant is absent for 2nd consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Bhupinder. S/o Sh Dina Nath,
R/o Indira Colony, Gali NO-5,
Pathankot.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO,
Pathankot

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 1076 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Sh.Satish Kumar Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through RTI application dated 10.04.2021, has sought information regarding the name of the in-charge of purchase items, i.e. printing slips, registers, repair of electrical items, camera surgical items from 31.12.2020 onwards – the name of dealer from which the said items purchased etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Food Supply Controller, Pathankot. . The appellant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 19.08.2021 which did not decide on the appeal..

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent present pleaded that the information had been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 30.03.2022.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been replied to sufficiently, and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Harsimran Singh, s/o Sh Jatinder Bir Singh,
R/o 14, Windsor Prk, Gulab Devi Hospital Road,
Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4049 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Harsimran Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Preet Kamal Singh, Food Supply Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through an RTI application dated 05.04.2021, has sought information regarding the copy of annual reports for the years 2012-13 to 2020-21 – all physical verification reports submitted by the competent authority – stock reports and stock statements of above said period of said clusters – opening and closing balance of wheat centre wise – purchase and sale of wheat centre wise etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information, after which the complainant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.05.2021, which disposed of the appeal on 27.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent present pleaded that the information was earlier supplied to the appellant by hand through a special messenger on 10.09.2021, but the appellant did not acknowledge the information. Thereafter, the information was sent to the appellant via speed post on 30.09.2021.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Harsimran Singh, s/o Sh Jatinder Bir Singh,
R/o 14, Windsor Prk, Gulab Devi Hospital Road,
Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Controller,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate authority,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4053 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Harsimran Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Preet Kamal Singh, Food Supply Officer for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through RTI application dated 05.04.2021, has sought information regarding a copy of the utilization certificate of wheat distribution in Sultanpur Lodhi Phagwara, Kapurthala, Dhilwan 1 & 2 – a copy of utilization certificate complied y District office sent to Hea office, Chandigarh – utilization certificate submitted by concerned inspectors to – coy of a certificate containing information regarding the lifting of wheat from DCP centres by inspectors – a copy of sale certificate issued etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the complainant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 28.05.2021, which disposed of the appeal on 27.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent present pleaded that the information was earlier supplied to the appellant by hand through a special messenger on 10.09.2021, but the appellant did not acknowledge the information. Thereafter, the information was sent to the appellant via speed post on 30.09.2021.

The appellant is not satisfied with the provided information.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the following is concluded:

- | | | |
|---------------|---|--|
| - Point-1 | - | Provided |
| - Point-2 | - | Provided. As per the respondent, the utilization certificate is obtained from the Inspectors by AFSO/DFSO and sent to the Head office |
| - Point-3 & 4 | - | Provided |
| - Point-5 | - | As per the respondent, the record is retained only for one year, and the copy of notification regarding the rule for the destruction of records has been provided. |

Appeal Case No. 4053 of 2021

The appellant is directed to inspect the record relating to point-5 on 21.04.2022 at 11.00 AM and get the relevant information. if the record is still available, the PIO to provide the information.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Madan Mohan, S/o sh Surendra Nath,
Guru Glass House, Main Bazar,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ferozepur.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4164 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Madan Mahan as the Appellant
Sh.Surinder Pal, Jr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through RTI application dated 17.06.2021, has sought information regarding Sh.Gurmit Singh and Dr.Surjit Singh – a copy of license relating to medicines purchase – the place of the store - a copy of bills of medicines purchased stock register etc., as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Ferozepur. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 06.07.2021, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 26.07.2021, which disposed of the appeal on 10.08.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ferozepur. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 02.04.2022 and a copy of the letter sent to the Commission through email.

The respondent further informed that there is no record of Sh.Gurmit Singh and Dr.Surjit Singh as they are not registered.

The appellant stated that the information is incorrect since these persons are running a medical store and doing medical practice in the area.

As per the respondent, there is no record of these persons. However, it has come to the notice that someone is running a medical store and practice without any permission, which is a cause of concern. The Civil Surgeon, Ferozepur, is advised to inquire into the matter and take appropriate steps accordingly as per medical rules.

No further interference of the commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Gurmeet Singh, S/o Sh Surmukh Singh,
2072, Bhai Mastan /Singh Nagar,
Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4021 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Gurmeet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Anchit Jindal, Jr Auditor for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant, through the RTI application dated 28.01.2021, has sought information regarding details of utilisation of funds received (15.04.2021 to 11.01.2018 as per RTI application) for distribution of wheat to poor families – details of labour expenses incurred on the distribution of wheat to poor families by the depot holders in Sri Mukatsar Sahib Centres etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Food Civil Supplies & Consumer affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information, after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 18.03.2021, which directed the PIO vide letter dated 02.08.2021 to supply the information to the appellant within 7 days.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Mukatsar Sahib. The appellant claims that despite orders of the First Appellate Authority dated 02.08.2021, the PIO has not provided the information.

The Commission has received a copy of the letter of the PIO dated 12.04.2022 stating that the information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 21.10.2020.

As per the appellant, the information that has been provided does not relate to this RTI application.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide point-wise information to the appellant relating to this RTI application as discussed during the hearing within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 13.04.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner